

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	§	Response to Office Action
Harold E. Childers II	§	
	§	Group Art Unit: 1744
Serial No.: 10/690,375	§	
	§	Examiner: CONLEY, Sean E.
Filed: 10/21/2003	§	
	§	
Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM	§	Attorney Docket No.: SEVR111STWP
FOR PRODUCING A	§	
DISINFECTING SOLUTION	§	
	§	

RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY DATED APRIL 17, 2007

MAIL STOP RESPONSE - NO FEE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Examiner Conley:

In the restriction requirement mailed April 17, 2007, the Examiner stated that the application contained claims directed to two patentably distinct species. Accordingly, the Examiner required the election of either Claim 8 (dissolvable disinfectants comprising hypochlorites) or Claim 9 (dissolvable disinfectants comprising bromine chemicals).

However, in a telephone conference with Applicant's Attorney, Examiner Conley was amenable to Applicant amending the claims in response to the restriction requirement. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the opportunity to amend the claim 8. Claim 9 has been withdrawn. Applicant requests the allowance of the amended claims.